Reform of the Common Fisheries Policy – views of the Swedish Board of Fisheries

Axel Wenblad Director General














A new Common Fisheries Policy

- Objective hierarchy
- 2. Scientific advice
- Decision-making processes and stakeholder involvement
- 4. Rights-based management
- 5. Fleet overcapacity
- 6. Allocation of fishing opportunities relative stability
- 7. The external dimension
- 8. Aquaculture
- 9. Monitoring and enforcement





Today's objective







Objectives – must be:

Clearly formulated, prioritised and provide guidance for management decisions, and broken down into time-based and measurable subobjectives.

Framework: the ecosystem approach - its goods and services.

- Producing (food)
- Regulating (climate)
- Supporting (biodiversity and functions)
- Cultural (recreation)





Objectives, in order of priority, could be:

- 1. ...guarantee consumers wholesome food through utilising the aquatic ecosystem as close to maxiumum yield as possible without risk to the biological diversity and the system's resistance to disturbances.
- 2. ...contribute to the maritime policy... through taking the impact of the sector on the regulating and supportive functions of the aquatic ecosystem including biodiversity into consideration.
- 3. ...create employment, income, recreational opportunities and serve as a carrier of cultural heritage. ...provide a reasonable standard of living for sector's practitioners.



Decision-making process and stakeholder involvement

Focus on long-term objectives instead of short-term solutions.

Distinguish between:

- i) overarching and normative decisions (objectives and principles) (WHAT)
- ii) implementing and detailed regulations (HOW)





Comitology Procedure

- Delegate more of implementation and detailed regulations to the Commission.
- Requires early involvement of concerned Member States.
- Proactive participation by the RAC:s is necessary.
- Cooperation between COM, concerned Member States and RAC:s needs to be developed.



Regionalisation

- Specific regional management implemented through a regional comitology procedure or through regional management by concerned Member States or a combination.
- Regional management may lead to more responsive management of specific fish resources.
- Regional management requires procedures to safequard conformity with Council decisions.
- Other Member States will have smaller possibilities to influence.
- Problems to handle migratory stocks have to be addressed.



The role of the RAC:s

- Overall good experience so far
- Strengthen consultation role
- More management initiatives
- Specific tasks (e.g. technical) with funding
- Need to revise mandate and structure



Finally:

Fisheries management is complicated and some people try to make it even more complicated.

It can also be made more simple:

"Adopt long-term management based on science and follow it".

This is now being demonstrated by the cod example in the Baltic.

