# North Western Waters RAC Summary Report on Review of Cooperation with ICES in 2011

MIRAC 2012
The Hague
Netherlands
January 23-24

Conseil Consultatif
Récional Pour
LES EAUX OCCIDENTALES
SEPTENTRIONALES
SEPTENTRIONALES

NORTH WESTERN
WATERS
REGIONAL ADVISORY
COUNCIL

CONSEID CONSULTIVO
RECIONAL PARA
LAS AGUAS
NOROCCIDENTALES
NOROCCIDENTALES

### **General Conclusions - Pros**

- + Increasing participation of scientific input and expertise:
  - > Development of Mixed Fisheries LTMPs (Celtic Sea, Irish Sea)
  - > Review of existing LTMPs (Cod recovery plan, Sole VIIe)
  - > Reduction of data deficiencies / uncertainties
  - > Streamlining of both informal and formal cooperation.

?

- + Better understanding by stakeholders of how ICES works
  - > Internal procedure for the development of advice
  - > Basis and elements for advice (MSY, PA)
  - > Participation of stakeholders at ICES meetings (ADG, WK...)
  - > More transparent decision-making process
- + Stable participation of NWWRAC representatives at relevant ICES meetings in 2011

RÉGIONAL POUR
LES EAUX OCCIDENTALES
SEPTENTRIONALES

WATERS REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL REGIONAL PARA
LAS ÁGUAS
NOROCCIDENTALES

### Suggestions for improvement

- Extent of representation: dichotomy ICES/national scientists to be resolved in a meeting-per-meeting basis?
- Improved access to science Review of MoU between EC-ICES
  - > Reflection on direct formal interaction ICES-RACs?
  - > Review of role and "weight" of scientists in the RAC in the light of new regional structures as a result of a reformed CFP and IMP/MSFD
  - > Coordination and pooling of resources:

Organisation of common events of shared interest for all RACs

- Idea of "RAC weeks" as expressed by SWWRAC
- Tailored training courses, coordination meetings...
- > Secure budget for annual presentation of ICES Advice
- > RAC funding for scientific coordinator



### Data Deficiencies (I)

### Chronology

- WKDDRAC1 - January 26-27 2011

"Brainstorming session" – Open discussions on core objectives, types of data needs and allocation of responsibilities

- WKDDRAC2 - March 31 - April 1 2011

Adoption of broad principles, framework and ToR for RTFs

Data poor stocks – Identification of priority stocks (22 out of 60)

- NWWRAC Working Group meetings (April-July 2011)

Appointment of fishing industry representatives as Data Stock Coordinators to maintain dialogue with ICES coordinators

- Letter to ICES reporting on Work Progress (July 2011)



### Data Deficiencies (II)

#### Main outcomes

- Establishment of Regional Task Forces (RTF) aimed to tackle "weak" assessments in Western Waters:
  - Foundations established for collaborative work between ICES scientists, NSRAC-NWWRAC and Member States
  - WKDDRAC1 (26-27 Jan) and WKDDRAC2 (31 March-1 April)
- Coordinated actions to look at benchmarked stocks in 2012/13 (anglerfish, megrim, cod, sole, nephrops, haddock and hake)
- Identification of priority stocks and data needs (no duplication)
- Understanding uncertainties and quality/robustness of advice

### Next steps / way forward

 Allocation of responsibilities is clear: now active participation and commitment required from all parties to provide required data

WKDDRAC3 in 2012 to evaluate progress achieved?



## Benchmarking Workshops (I)

 Need to consider dedicating 1-2 days (preferably at the beginning of the meeting) for presenting framework and allowing stakeholders to provide input

- Main constraints observed:

Language (no resources for interpretation);

Time (duration of meetings);

Terminology (scientific jargon).



## Benchmarking Workshops (II)

- Involvement of Fishers Data and Knowledge:
  - Need for the industry to provide any new data time series –effort, catches, landings, discards...- in due time prior to ICES benchmarking meetings (at least 2-3 months in advance);
  - Data coordinators will liaise with ICES stock coordinators and report back to the RAC at each respective sub-regional Working Group;
  - Manage excess of expectations  $\rightarrow$  industry-science partnership projects will need some time to deliver "sound data"  $\rightarrow$  objectives and timelines to be agreed from the outset among all parties involved;
  - Advice on format and type of data required for assessment models.
    - > Indicate which non-conventional data is acceptable and valid;
    - > Highlight acceptable, existing data collection practices;
    - > Report back on the use of data to the providers.



# More info: www.nwwrac.org

