# Improving the efficiency of the NWWAC

Item 3, Executive Committee Dublin, 16 September 2015



## Not a new discussion

## Previous discussion:

- 2012 (ExCom, Paris) Pascale Beale Performance assessment for the SWWAC;
- 2013 General Assembly.

## Current Rules and Procedures:

- 4 Working Groups;
- + any groups ExCom decides.

## ExCom July 2015:

Discussion paper (Barrie Deas)

# Strong points

## Members:

Can be involved at all levels;

#### WGs:

- Create input, put forward relevant subjects;
- Have or can find local expertise.

## Focus groups:

Specialists deal with horizontal issues;

## Advice Drafting Groups (ADG):

Small and agile to draft advice;

## ExCom

Sets up processes, Finalises advice.

# Weak points

- Members:
  - Time constraints;
- WGs:
  - Duplicate agenda items;
- Focus groups and ADGs:
  - Interpretation needs for stand-alone meetings;
- ExCom;
- Fitting the meeting schedule into the Budget.

## Alternatives?

- Avoid duplication:
  - Horizontal issues in Focus groups or ADGs instead of WGs;
- Concentrate meetings:
  - Reduce travel time and costs;
  - Interpretation (add-on to other meetings);
- Cheaper venues:
  - Reduce travel and technical costs;
- More correspondence work;
- Use of web-based meetings.

## Proposals for topics and groups:

- From Working Groups to ExCom (as currently);
- To facilitate process for horizontal issues (new) from GA members to ExCom members.

## ExCom will then:

- Identify and authorise the establishment of focus or drafting groups;
- Define:
  - Clear Terms of Reference (Output) and timeframe;
  - Appointment of Chair and rapporteur;
  - Allocation of resources.



## Options for Working Groups:

- a) Restrict the role of WGs to dealing with issues of direct significance to sub-areas?
- b) Only hold WG meetings if agenda items are available?
- c) Reduce the frequency of WG meetings?
- d) Abolish the tier of Working Groups altogether? (requires change to the statutes)



## Reducing meeting frequency

- a) More correspondence work?
   More translation costs but less reimbursements and interpretation costs;
- b) Try web-based meetings?

  Secretariat needs to investigate interpretation options.



## Reducing costs:

- Reimbursements for Focus groups and ADGs:
  - a) For everybody that signs up? Or;
  - b) Maximum to be set by ExCom?

- Interpretation for standalone meetings:
  - a) Make interpretation dependent on funds available?
  - b) Secretariat to investigate sponsorship options from Member States or other sources?



## Thank you – Any questions?



CONSEIL CONSULTATIF POUR
LES EAUX OCCIDENTALES
SEPTENTRIONALES

NORTH WESTERN
WATERS
ADVISORY COUNCIL

CONSEJO CONSULTIVO PARA LAS ÁGUAS NOROCCIDENTALES