
# NWWAC Performance Review

5 July 2023

Noémi Van Bogaert & Sara Vandamme



### Timeline 2022-2023



# Aim of today

- Present preliminary findings
- Elaborate on specific results and questions
- Last feedback for the final report
- No recommendations

### Topics of the performance review

#### Internal evaluation

- Performance of Chairs & Secretariat
- Functioning of the WG and ExCom
- Representation of different interests
- Decision-making process
- Communication & Public Relations

#### External evaluation

- Relationship with COM, MSG, ACs
- Contribution to the CFP


#### Internal evaluation

- Performance of Chairs & Secretariat
- Functioning of the WG and ExCom
- Representation of different interests
- Decision-making process
- Communication & Public Relations



# Performance of Chairs and Secretariat

#### Performance of the Chairs and Secretariat



#### Performance of the Chairs and Secretariat

#### Secretariat:

- Attention to detail
- 'Can-do' attitude
- Domain knowledge



#### Performance of the Chairs and Secretariat

Interviews

"The NWWAC is well-organized with an effective Secretariat and competent Chair. They have expertise on the right topics."




- Meetings have the right scope and are of high quality
- Professional working environment
- Interventions are of good quality with well-informed members
- Meetings are constructive, foster social connections and networking

#### Challenges:

- Reaching consensus
- Accessibility
- Ownership
- Overload information
- Languages

# Representation of different interests

# Representation of different interests



#### Focus groups and Interviews:

- Small-scale fisheries
- Other sectors e.g., wind energy, aquaculture
- UK Members
  - ⇒ Condition NWW region
- Frustration about OIG membership


# Decision-making process

#### Decision-making process

#### Strengths and weaknesses of advice


- Clear Terms of Reference
- Excellent quality
- Minority opinions clearly stated
- Secretariat acts as a facilitator

Too long, too many



# Decision-making process

Disappointment




#### External evaluation

- Relationship with COM, MSG, ACs
- Contribution to the CFP



# Relationship with external parties

# Relationship with others



# Contribution to the CFP objectives

# SWOT- analysis

Good governance principles of regionalisation :

- Better involvement of stakeholders
- Tailor-made management
- Interests' stakeholders taken onboard in policy
- Bottom-up approach



### Contribution to the CFP objectives

Better involvement
Tailor-made
Stakeholders included
Bottom-up approach

#### Strengths

- Participatory process
- Integrate practical knowledge
- Representative & robust
- Regio-specific issues taken into account

#### **Opportunities**

- Inclusive policy
- Work in progress
- Reduce workload for policymakers
- Funding required

#### Weaknesses

- Weak return on investment
- Lack of harmonization on shared issues
- Impossible to accommodate all
- Lack of consideration

#### **Threats**

- Lack of capacity
- Delay in implementation
- Coherence problems
- NA

### What's next

